

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,236	07/12/2004	Thomas Beckert	253871US0PCT	3554	
OBLON SPIX	7590 03/28/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1618			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/501,236	BECKERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Humera N. Sheikh	1618		

		iulileia N. Sileikii	1010	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea or Reply	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATA masons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.386, 100 CM of 11 FB (non-chailing date of time communication of 11 CFR 1.386, 100 CM). The communication of the commun	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Man	ch 2006.		
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	ction is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance	secution as to the	merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
. —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	Certified copies of the priority documents h			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority		d in this National	Stage
	application from the International Bureau (I	,		
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	tne certified copies not receive	a.	
Attachmen	at(s)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: ___

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Claims 1-16 are pending in this action. Claims 1-16 are subject to an Election/Restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a pharmaceutical formulation.

Group II, claim(s) 14 & 15, drawn to a process for producing a pharmaceutical formulation.

Group III, claim 16, drawn to a method for treating ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease and/or other disorders of the gastrointestinal tract.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is drawn to a pharmaceutical formulation whereas Group II is drawn to a method of making a pharmaceutical formulation. The pharmaceutical formulation of Group I does not have to be made by the claimed spraying or melt processing method of Group II. The Group I formulation can be made by a distinct method such as by immersion coating. The Group III method of using (treating) can be used to treat other diseases asides from the claimed ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. The method of using can be used to treat other diseases such as allergic rhinitis or to induce coughing.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1618

The species are as follows:

1. Election of Polymer Form: (Different polymer make-up based on the percentages

claimed)

(a) claims 2-16

(b) claims 4, 6-16

(c) claims 5-16

(d) claims 6, 8-16

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The claims listed in the election of species correspond to the varying percentages of polymer

claimed in claims 2-16.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical

features for the following reasons: The varying amounts of polymer claimed provide for a

Art Unit: 1618

distinct pharmaceutical formulation that would have different effects and results based on the specific percentage of polymer employed.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

2. Election of Form:

(a) pellets in capsule

(b) pellets compressed into a tablet

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 10, 12 and 13 correspond to the species listed above. Claim 10 drawn to pellets or granules. Claim 12 drawn to pellets enclosed in a capsule. Claim 13 drawn to pellets compressed into a tablet.

Art Unit: 1618

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The different forms employed - pellets in a capsule or pellets compressed into a tablet are capable of imparting physiologically and/or chemically different effects and results, based on the particular form utilized. The different forms are capable of supporting a separate patent within the art.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

3. Election of Outer Envelope Form:

- (a) capsule
- (b) coated capsule

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/501,236

Art Unit: 1618

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 8 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claim 7 corresponds to a capsule. Claim 9 corresponds to a coated capsule.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The different outer envelope forms employed (capsule versus coated capsule) are each capable of imparting different physiologically and/or chemical effects, such as different release profile characteristics, i.e., sustained release.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1618

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Because the above restriction/election is complex, a telephone call to Applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP 812.01.

Art Unit: 1618

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday during

regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Hartley, can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Humera N. Sheikh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618

Application/Control Number: 10/501,236

Page 9

Art Unit: 1618